

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-8 and 10 are all the claims pending in the application.

Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Barrera (5,965,256).

**Analysis**

Claim 1 is amended to clarify the materials of the first film. These changes are supported by the originally filed specification (e.g., page 10, last paragraph, of originally filed specification).

The Office Action indicated that the fluoro-containing topcoat layer in Barrera is equated to a first film of the present invention. However, the first film of the present invention is not a fluoro-containing film. The amendments to claim 1 clarify this distinction.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that amended claim 1 is not anticipated by, nor rendered obvious by, Barrera. Accordingly, claim 1 is believed patentable.

The remaining rejections are directed to the dependent claims. These claims are patentable for at least the same reasons as claim 1, by virtue of their dependency therefrom.

**Conclusion**

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(c)  
U.S. Application No.: 10/625,527

Attorney Docket No.: Q76642

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Ellen R. Smith/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

---

Ellen R. Smith  
Registration No. 43,042

Date: February 25, 2008